

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_112051
Title of the Manuscript:	“A Review on Nutritional value of leafy vegetables and their potential contribution to human health”
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The presented manuscript focuses on these used mainly in the cuisine of India which is something new.</p> <p>Partially. Would be good to be mentioned. That is about leafy vegetables, used in India.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, it corresponds with th type of the manuscript.</p> <p>See the comments below.</p> <p>There are references mentioned inside the text, but missing from the bibliography section. There is a reference duplicated – see no. 9 and 23.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Thanks</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>The language of the manuscript demonstrates lack of English knowledge – it leaves the feeling that the original text was created on different language, then translated using software or online translator. Numerous sentences are incomplete, or used words makes it impossible to understand. There are two parts on page 2 which are just copy – paste – see paragraph 1 and 2 from Introduction. Scientific terminology demonstrates lack of knowledge as well – “corrosion” used instead of “acid” (paragraph 2 from Introduction; RDA described as “suggested everyday stipend” and so on. The names of the plant species are written with no spacing between the two parts. In paragraph 3 of the Introduction (page 3) there is a reference mentioned only as year and nothing else.</p> <p>In general, the manuscript requires spell and grammar check at first and then an English proof-reading by an English native editor.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Besides the comments above, there is no reference sources for the values in Table 1.</p> <p>Due to the English level of the text, for me was no worth going ahead after page 4 – the text is difficult to understand in that condition. The author/s have to put some effort before requesting reviewing.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	